U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number 10647068

Filing Date 2003-08-22

First Named Inventor Argenta, et al.

Art Unit 3733

Examiner Name PHILOGENE, PEDRO

Attorney Docket Number 0101-P02977US1

	U.S.PATENTS Remove									
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code <sup>1</sup>	Issue D	ate	Name of Pate of cited Docu	entee or Applicant ment	Releva	,Columns,Lines whei ant Passages or Rele s Appear	
	1									
If you wis	h to ac	dd additional U.S. Pater	nt citatio	n informa	ation pl	ease click the	Add button.		Add	
			U.S.P	ATENT A	APPLI	CATION PUBI	LICATIONS		Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code <sup>1</sup>	Publica Date	tion	Name of Pate of cited Docu	entee or Applicant ment	Releva	,Columns,Lines wher ant Passages or Rele s Appear	
	1									
If you wis	h to ac	dd additional U.S. Publi	shed Ap	plication	citatio	n information p	please click the Add	d button	n. Add	
				FOREIG	N PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number <sup>3</sup>	Country Code <sup>2</sup>		Kind Code <sup>4</sup>	Publication Date	Name of Patented Applicant of cited Document	or    -	Pages,Columns,Line where Relevant Passages or Relevar Figures Appear	T5
	1									
If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button Add								•		
NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Remove										
Examiner Initials*  Cite No  Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.							<b>T</b> 5			

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor Argen		nta, et al.
Art Unit		3733
Examiner Name PHILO		DGENE, PEDRO
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

1	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Michael Baniak, with Exhibits, (January 7, 2005). BS-22	
2	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Mark Chariker, with Exhibits, (January 7, 2005). BS-23	
3	Exhibits for KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Mark Chariker, M.D., (December 19, 2005). BS-24	
4	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Harriet Hopf, with Exhibits, (November 18, 2005). BS-25	
5	KCI v. BlueSky, Amended Expert Report of Harriet W. Hopf, M.D. on New Claims, with Exhibits, (February 10, 2006). BS-26	
6	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Harriet W. Hopf, M.D. Responsive to Plaintiff's Asserted Claims for Relief, with Exhibits, (February 10, 2006). BS-27	
7	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Harriet W. Hopf, M.D. with Exhibits, (June 23, 2006). BS-28	
8	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Harriet W. Hopf, M.D. with Exhibits, (March 11, 2006). BS-32	
9	KCI v. BlueSky, Report of Professor Thomas K. Hunt, M.D., pp. 1-14, (January 13, 2005). BS-29	
10	KCI v. BlueSky, Report of Katherine F. Jeter, CV and list of exhibits, (November 28, 2004). BS-11	
11	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Michael O'Neil, dated (February 22, 2006). BS-31	

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor Argen		nta, et al.
Art Unit		3733
Examiner Name PHILO		DGENE, PEDRO
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

12	KCI v. BlueSky, Attachments to Expert Report of Michael A. O'Neil. BS-34	
13	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Vincent Pizziconi, with Exhibits, (December 31, 2005). BS-35	
14	KCI v. BlueSky, Amended Expert Report of Vincent B. Pizziconi, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (February 6, 2006). BS-36	
15	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert report of Vincent B. Pizziconi, Ph.D. responsive to plaintiff's asserted claims for relief, with Exhibits, (February 14, 2006). BS-37	
16	KCI v. BlueSky, Amended expert report of Vincent B. Pizziconi, Ph.D. responsive to plaintiff's asserted claims for relief, with Exhibits, (February 16, 2006). BS-38	
17	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Vincent B. Pizziconi, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (March 12, 2006). BS-39	
18	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Vincent B. Pizziconi, Ph.D. with Exhibits, (June 23, 2006). BS-40	
19	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental expert report of Lydia Razran Stone, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (March 8, 2006). BS-41	
20	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Lydia Razran Stone, Ph.D., with Exhibits, (December 29, 2005). BS-42	
21	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta in Response to Report of Katherine Jeter, with Exhibits, (January 5, 2005). BS-43	
22	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta to Reports of Hopf, O'Neil, Pizziconi & Chariker, (February 27, 2006). BS-44	

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor Argen		ita, et al.
Art Unit		3733
Examiner Name PHILO		DGENE, PEDRO
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

23	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta in Response to James Spahn, Mark Chariker & Thomas Hunt. BS-46	
24	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Louis C. Argenta, M.D. to Expert Supplemental Reports of Hopf and Pizziconi, with Exhibits, (July 8, 2006). BS-47	
25	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Valery Gilevich, M.D. to Expert Report of Lydia Razran Stone, Ph.D. BS-48	
26	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Valery Gilevich, with Exhibits, (Exhibit P-47) (December 14, 2004). BS-49	
27	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal expert report of John T. Goolkasian, with Exhibits, (February 22, 2006). BS-50	
28	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report of John T. Goolkasian, with Exhibits, (March 10, 2006). BS-51	
29	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Wilson Hayes in Response to Reports of James Spahn and Mark Chariker, with Exhibits, (January 31, 2005). BS-53	
30	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Wilson Hayes in Response to Report of Katherine Jeter, with Exhibits, (January 7, 2005). BS-54	
31	KCI v. BlueSky, Responsive Expert Report of Wilson Hayes in Response to Hopf, Chariker, Pizziconi, and O'Neil Regarding Patent Validity, with Exhibits, (February 27, 2006). BS-56	
32	KCI v. BlueSky, Rebuttal expert report of Wilson C. Hayes, Ph.D. in response to the reports of Harriet Hopf and Vincent Pizziconi regarding plaintiffs' asserted claims for relief, with exhibits, (March 7, 2006). BS-57	
33	KCI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Jeffery Niezgoda in Response to Katherine Jeter (January 7, 2005). BS-58	

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor Argen		nta, et al.
Art Unit		3733
Examiner Name PHILO		DGENE, PEDRO
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

	34	CI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Jeffery Niezgoda in Response to James Spahn, Thomas Hunt & Mark Chariker, anuary 31, 2005). BS-59						
	35	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplement to Expert Report of Jeffery Niezgoda, with Exhibits, (January 4, 2006). BS-60						
	36	CI v. BlueSky, Expert Report of Dennis P. Orgill, M.D., Ph.D., with Exhibits, (February 20, 2006). BS-61						
	37	v. BlueSky, Rebuttal Expert Report of Dennis Orgill to Amended Expert Reports of Harriet Hopf and Vincent ciconi, with Exhibits, (March 13, 2006). BS-62						
	38	KCI v. BlueSky, Supplemental Expert Report of Dennis P. Orgill, M.D., Ph.D., with Exhibits, (July 6, 2006). BS-63						
If you wis	h to ac	d additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button Add						
		EXAMINER SIGNATURE						
Examiner	Examiner Signature Date Considered							
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.								
<sup>1</sup> See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a> or MPEP 901.04. <sup>2</sup> Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>3</sup> For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>4</sup> Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. <sup>5</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.								

( Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor Argen		ita, et al.
Art Unit		3733
Examiner Name PHIL		DGENE, PEDRO
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

Plea	Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):					
	from a foreign p	That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).				
OR	1					
	That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).					
	See attached ce	rtification statement.				
	Fee set forth in 3	37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewit	h.			
X	None					
		SIGNA				
	A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.					
Sigr	Signature /Niels Haun/ Date (YYYY-MM-DD) 2007-04-23					
Name/Print Niels Haun Regi		Registration Number	48,488			
pub 1.14	This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time your					

require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria**,

**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT** 

VA 22313-1450.

### **Privacy Act Statement**

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these record s.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a
  court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement
  negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
  - 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.